

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/982,204	WITBROCK, MICHAEL J.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Mohammad Ali	2167	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Allowed

 (1) Mohammad Ali.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Kenneth F. Kozik (Reg. No. 35,572).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 28 March 2005
**Time:** 12:15am
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 13

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A telephone was made to applicant's representative Attorney, Kenneth F. Kozik (Reg. No. 35,572) about the potential 101 issue in order to prosecute the case. The representative agreed with the Examiner's proposal and authorization has given for an Examiner's Amendment.